* In Manipur, a case for asymmetric federalism

- Asymmetrical Federalism:

- · It is found in federation in which different constituent states possess different powers.
- · Some sub-states having more autonomy than the other, although they have the same constitutional status.

Eq:

- · Art 370 that gave JLK a special constitutional status (Abrogated in 2019).
- · Art 371A which gives more constitutional powers to Nagas over land & resources
- · Art 371C gives powers to Manipur's Hill Areas Committee over tribal identity, culture, dev. and local administration.

- Critics of Asymmetric Federalism:

·Based on idea of monolith, homogenous nation.

- ·It has recently received negative media coverage in India.
- Driven by the argument that giving distinctive constitutional status to territorially concentrated minorities would promote centrifugal tendencies.
- ·It is argued that it would over time inhibit national/state integration, development, and peace.
- Examples of Destabilising Potential

East European communist states failed to hold together in the 1990s.

- Views in the Constituent Assembly -
 - 1. Gopinath Bordoloi Committee was a subcommittee of the Constituent Assembly
 - Sought to accommodate the distinctive identity of tribal groups in the Northeast by envisioning self-rule.

- 2. Jaipal Singh and B.R. Ambedkar recognised tribal distinctiveness and underscored the need for separate institutional accommodation.
- 3. Kuladhar Chaliha, from Assam, brought in an integrationist approach when he openly advocated assimilation of tribal groups.
- Recent Developments in Manipur:
 - 1. The Manipur govt recently:
 - · Stopped the introduction of the Manipur (Hill Areas) Autonomous District Council (Amendment) Bill, 2021.
 - · Inducted 9 Assembly members from the valley areas into the Hill Areas Committee.(HAC)
 - 2. Manipur government following the integrationist approach.
 - ·The govt says that the Bill is a sensitive matter.

While the Speaker ordered to induct 9
Assembly members from the valley areas is
seen as a direct assault on the HAC.

It amounted to a transgression of a domain
exclusively reserved for the Prez of India

Democratise and empower city govts

The RBI in its report, "State Finances, Study of Budgets of 2021-22"-

- · 3rd-tier govts are playing a frontline role in combating the pandemic by implementing containment strategies, healthcare.
- · The finances of these civic bodies have come under severe strain
- · So there should be an increase in their functional autonomy & their governance structure be strengthened.
- · This could be achieved by empowering them financially through higher resource availability.

Challenges faced by the Local Govts

- · An RBI survey of 221 municipal corporations revealed that more than 70% of the revenues saw a decline and in contrast, their expenditure increased by almost 71.2%.
- · Limited collection of property tax and its failure in consolidating municipal corporation revenues.

- · Data from OECD shows that India has the lowest property tax collection to GDP ratio in the world.
- · Further, during the pandemic, leaders from both centre and states were taking calls on disaster mitigation strategies, without the involvement of city mayors.
 - Under the disaster management plan of action, cities are at the forefront.
 - And the elected leadership finds no place in disaster management.
 - The approach of treating cities as subordinates of State govts continues in policymaking.
- · During the VAT regime, one of the major earnings of cities was from octroi i.e. a duty levied on various goods entering a town or city for consumption.
 - Now FC recommended grants to ULBs based on a formula of demographic profile.
 - Earlier, while almost 55% of the total revenue expenditure of urban bodies was

met by octroi, in contrast now, the grant covers only 15% of expenditure.

Wax Forward

- · Functional autonomy of city govts must be allowed.
- · Functional autonomy should be accompanied by three F's: Functions, Finances and Functionaries to city govts.
- · Financial empowerment must be added to the 18 functions listed in the 12th Schedule introduced by the 74th Amendment aimed at empowering urban local bodies.
- · Cities must be treated as important centres of governance, where democratic decentralisation can bring transparency and adequate participation of the people.
- · States should follow the people's plan model of Kerala where 40% of the State's plan budget was for local bodies with transfer of important subjects.

- The cities must be considered as spaces for planned development by giving adequate attention to resources.
- · The cities in the Scandinavian countries have been examples of managing various functions, from city planning to mobility to waste management.
 - It is because the income-tax from citizens
 is given to the city govts.
 - Even India's Ministry of Housing and Urban Development recommended to transfer 10% of income-tax collected from the cities back to them
- · The leadership in the cities must be elected for a term of five years. In some cities, the term of the mayor is for one year.